## **Transparent readings without movement or world pronouns**

**Clemens Steiner-Mayr** 

Percus (2000) shows that verbal predicates cannot receive transparent (de re) interpretations. He calls the ban on such interpretations "Generalization X". There are competing accounts on the market regarding generalization X, relying both on scope (Keshet 2011) and world pronouns (Schwarz 2012). In this talk, I present data calling generalization X in its simple form into question. This is unexpected on the accounts mentioned. I suggest a way to deal with transparent interpretations that neither necessitates scoping nor world pronouns. In the resulting intensional system binding of concept generators (Percus & Sauerland 2003 and other work) generalized in a way so as to allow them to apply to constituents of arbitrary type yields transparent interpretations for in-situ constituents. Generalization X and the novel data are suggested to follow on this system from standard assumptions regarding acquaintance relations (Kaplan 1968, Lewis 1979).